Virginia Department of Health Private Well Regulations Workgroup September 8, 2016, Meeting Summary

Loudoun County Government Center 1st Floor, Lovettsville Room 1 Harrison Street SE Leesburg, Virginia 20175

List of Attendees:

Private Well Regulations Workgroup Members

Scott Bruce – DEQ Ben Spence – VWWA Erin Ling – VAHWQP Bob Marshall – OSE	Vincent Day – VAIPG Dennis Duty – Manufacturer Mark Granville-Smith – HBAV Craig Nicol – DEQ	Jon Richardson – VDH Wayne Fenton – VWWA Scott Fincham – VACo	
	VDH Staff and Members of the Public		
Lance Gregory – VDH	Whitney Wright – VDH Jerry	Franklin - VDH	

Administrative

1. Welcome.

Mr. Gregory welcomed the workgroup and thanked the members for their willingness to participate. Mr. Gregory noted that he had reached out to the Virginia Department of Mines, Mineral, and Energy, the United States Geological Survey, and professional engineers to invite them to participate in the workgroup.

2. Introduction of Workgroup Members.

Workgroup members then introduced themselves.

3. Approve agenda.

The workgroup reviewed and approved the draft agenda.

4. Review Summary from August 4, 2016, meeting.

Mr. Gregory asked whether there were any comments or revisions to the August 4, 2016, meeting summary. Workgroup members did not have comments on the summary.

General Information

1. Purpose of the Private Well Regulations Workgroup.

Mr. Gregory commented that the purpose of the workgroup is to assist VDH in develop of proposed revisions to the Private Well Regulations (12VAC5-630-10 et seq., the Regulations).

2. Ground rules for workgroup meetings.

Mr. Gregory reiterated the ground rules for the workgroup as discussed during the August 4, 2016, meeting.

Discussion

1. Review list of issues; categorization.

Mr. Gregory discussed a draft list of issues based on comments from the previous meeting and recommended revisions from the 2003 workgroup. In addition to summarizing the issue, Mr. Gregory also provide a draft analysis regarding whether the issues would require statutory, regulatory, or policy changes to address. Mr. Gregory asked workgroup members whether there were additional items to add to the list of issue, or comments on the draft analysis regarding statutory, regulatory, or policy changes. Workgroup members provided the following additional items for inclusion on the list of issues:

- In regards to the recommendation to require abandonment of contaminated wells, need to clarify whether the well is the source of contamination or whether the well is being contaminated by another source.
- Bring variances that are frequently granted into the regulations.
- Provide consistency with the groundwater management area requirements for global positioning system (GPS) locations on the uniform water well completion report.
- Include procedures for reclassification of wells from IIIC to IIIB wells.
- Need to address timing issues for collection of GPS; drillers are putting GPS into VA Hydro but then VDH is also collecting a GPS point at a later time.
- Also allow certified professional geologist to provide private well evaluations.
- Address inconsistency between local health departments regarding interpretation of agricultural zones.
- Review the separation distance from repair drainfield to an existing well.
- Review water quantity standards. How is well yield estimated?
- How would contamination be defined, and how would VDH enforcement proposed requirement for well abandonment (e.g. if owner self-reports a positive bacteriological sample are they required to abandon the well.)
- 2. Abandonment issues and recommendations.

Next the workgroup discussed issues related to well abandonment. Regarding the need to provide clarification of abandonment requirements, the workgroup provided the following feedback:

• Bored well abandonment should include a mix rate, for example 1/1/2, the same as standards for grouting the well.

- Some suggestions that grout materials should not contain coal combustion products. However, other suggested that coal combustion products would be bound in the material, would be inert, and would meet beneficial reuse.
- Clean fill mean not containing source contaminants.
- Could require that clean fill be impermeable material.
- Use the same grout requirements as used for construction.

Mr. Gregory commented that he would review whether VDH has the statutory authority to require abandonment of contaminated or dry wells. He mentioned that in previous instances, staff have commented that VDH would need additional statutory authority to require abandonment.

Mr. Gregory also agreed to share the abandonment requirements for the Office of Drinking Water, and requirements from other stated with the workgroup.

The workgroup then discussed revised abandonment procedures for shallow wells, geotechnical and exploration wells, and grout mixtures. Mr. Gregory noted that it was unclear whether VDH has the authority to regulate geotechnical and exploration wells. The workgroup provided the following feedback:

- Issue with geotechnical wells is that there can be hundreds of wells drilled for a project, and those wells are not being properly grouted when they are abandoned. The wells go through different aquifers.
- How do you define a geotechnical well (e.g. how deep do you have to go to be considered a well)?
- Does the Virginia Department of Transportation or neighboring states have any data regarding from improperly grouted wells?
- Could VDH create a method for abandoning those wells, but not require permitting. Create a standard/best management practice that you could enforce if not meet.
- Is there an ASTM standard.

Mr. Gregory commented that he would review whether VDH has authority to include geotechnical wells in the Regulations, and whether there are examples from other states.

3. Easement issues and recommendations.

Mr. Richardson shared his experience with issues that result from not requiring an easement when one person owns both properties and recommended requiring an easement anytime a well is on another property than the property it will serve. Mr. Gregory asked whether including the single ownership language contained in the Sewage Handling and Disposal Regulations would be helpful.

4. Permit expiration issues and recommendations.

The workgroup discussed a recent legislative effort to modify the permit expiration date for private wells. The bill was laid on the table. Mr. Gregory commented that given the previous

effort, it would likely require a legislative action to revised the permit expiration timeframe for private wells.

- 5. Issues of local concern; Piedmont/Valley of Northern Virginia.
- Mud filled voids in karst geology. Local issue where house is supported by a mud filled void, driller installed a well nearby and pumps out the mud damaging the home.
- Geotechnical wells in karst would be the biggest concern.
- In the Piedmont, corrosive waters connection to lead leaching. Could connect testing for lead to physiographic province. Would need sampling protocols.
- Need follow up samples in karst without chlorination.
- Not testing for chlorine residual.

Mr. Gregory commented that he would reach out to local environmental health managers prior to the next meetings to identify issues of local concern.

Adjourn

Virginia Department of Health Private Well Regulations Workgroup Tentative Agenda

Date:	September 8, 2016
Time:	10 am to 2 pm
Primary Location:	Loudoun County Government Center
	1 st Floor, Lovettsville Room
	1 Harrison Street SE
	Leesburg, Virginia 20175

Administrative (30 minutes)

- 5. Welcome. (5 minutes)
- 6. Introduction of Workgroup Members. (10 minutes)
- 7. Approve agenda. (5 minutes)
- 8. Review Summary from August 4, 2016 meeting. (10 minutes)

General Information (10 minutes)

- 3. Purpose of the Private Well Regulations Workgroup. (5 minutes)
- 4. Ground rules for workgroup meetings. (5 minutes)

Discussion (20 minutes)

6. Review list of issues; categorization. (20 minutes)

Break (5 minutes)

Discussion Continued (60 minutes)

- 7. Abandonment issues and recommendations. (45 minutes)
- 8. Easement issues and recommendations. (5 minutes)
- 9. Permit expiration issues and recommendations. (10 minutes)

Break (5 minutes)

Discussion Continued (75 minutes)

- 10. Licensure and evaluations for permits. (10 minutes)
- 11. Construction standard issues and recommendations. (65 minutes)

Break (5 minutes)

Discussion Continued (30 minutes)

12. Issues of local concern; Piedmont/Valley of Northern Virginia. (30 minutes)

Adjourn

Virginia Department of Health Private Well Regulations Workgroup Summary of Issues Identified by Workgroup and Previous Draft Revisions

Issue	Code/ Regulations/ Policy Revision	Recommended Revision(s)	Fast-track or NOIRA	Economic Impact	
Abandonment	Abandonment				
Clarify abandonment requirements.	Regulations/Policy				
Revise abandonment procedures (shallow wells,	Code/Regulations				
geotechnical and exploration wells, grout					
mixtures).					
Reduced setbacks from abandoned wells (e.g.	Regulations				
separation distance from posed septic system).					
Required abandonment of contaminated wells.	Code				
Consistency with Other Agencies/Offices/Regu	lations				
Siting a well downslope of a septic system.	Regulations				
Inconsistent implementation of regulations.	Policy				
Need to update implementation manual.	Policy				
Consistency with other, sometime more	Regulations				
stringent, regulations (e.g. Ground Water					
Management Areas – screening and GPS					
requirements).					
Bring GMPs into the regulations.	Regulations				
Add substantial compliance (similar to Sewage	Regulations				
Handling and Disposal Regulations).					
Construction Standards					
No emphasis on construction of the well; proper	Regulations/Policy				
grouting and sealing.					
Revise grouting requirements for downslope	Regulations				
siting of a well.					
Alternate grouting procedures for closed-loop	Regulations				
geothermal.					
Requirement for mechanical seals/packers.	Regulations				

Add substantial compliance.	Regulations		
Separate construction standards based on	Regulations		
geology.			
Effects of corrosive water on galvanized drop	Code/Regulations		
pipe.	_		
Proper sealing of PVC casing at interface with	Regulations		
bedrock.			
Revised construction standards for Class IIIA	Regulations		
wells.			
New types of Class IV wells (e.g. IVA)	Regulations		
Standards for converting a Class IV well to a	Regulations		
Class III.			
Requirement for lead-free components.	Code/Regulations		
Standards for product approvals (e.g. WSC,	Regulations		
NSF).			
Revised standards for wells in low areas.	Regulations		
Revisit construction standards exemptions for	Regulations		
Class IIIC and Class IV wells.			
Add screening requirements (Coastal Plain	Regulations		
region).			
Revised grouting procedures for inner and outer	Regulations		
casings.			
Customer Service	1		
LHD requiring new permit and fee for	Regulations/Policy		
relocating well.			
Consistency in design approach; VDH and	Policy		
private sector not on the same page.			
Need more flexibility with permits.	Regulations/Policy		
Getting permits in a timely manner.	Code/ Regulations/		
	Policy		
Inconsistent implementation of the regulations.	Policy		
Need to update the implementation manual.	Policy		
Develop guidelines for real estate inspections.	Code/Policy		

Provide clear expectations for implementation.	Policy		
Acceptable means for submitting documents to	Regulations/Policy		
VDH (email, fax, etc.).			
Regulations should not impose an unnecessary	Regulations		
economic hardship.			
Add substantial compliance.	Regulations		
Recommendations for disinfection when	Policy		
performing maintenance.			
Easements			
Revise section 340 to require an easement, even	Code/Regulations		
if the property owner is the same.			
Improve Private Sector Evaluations			
Consistency in design approach; VDH and	Policy		
private sector not on the same page.			
Private sector designer's permits are difficult to	Policy		
work with; too much unnecessary information.			
Licensure/Evaluations for Permitting	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Allow drillers to provide wells evaluations for	Code/Regulations		
permits.			
Acknowledging water well system provider	Regulations		
license through the regulations.			
Null and Voiding Permits/New Applications and			
LHD requiring new permit and fee for	Regulations/Policy		
relocating well.			
Consistency in design approach; VDH and	Policy		
private sector not on the same page.			
Need more flexibility with permits.	Regulations/Policy		
Observation/Monitoring/Geotechnical Wells			
Proper abandonment of geotechnical and	Regulations		
exploration wells.			
Defining direct push wells.	Regulations		
Defining environmental sampling wells.	Regulations		
Revised exemption of observation and	Regulations		

monitoring wells.				
Create standards for environmental sampling	Regulations			
wells.	8			
Permit Expiration		11		
Separate requirements for well only permits and	Regulations			
permits in conjunction with a septic permit;				
different expiration dates.				
Regulatory Oversight				
Grout inspections.	Policy			
Driller notification to LHD for well	Regulations/Policy			
construction.				
Add substantial compliance.	Regulations			
Revisions to administrative processes (hearings,	Regulations			
variances) for consistency with other				
regulations.				
Process requirements for submitting completion	Regulations			
reports.				
Revised procedures for product reviews and	Regulations			
approvals.				
Maintenance requirements for wells.	Code			
Required abandonment of contaminated wells.	Code			
Research Needs				
Knowledge gaps in assumptions versus science;	Code/Regulations/			
research needs.	Policy			
Regulations should not impose an unnecessary	Regulations			
economic hardship.				
Separation Distances				
Define agricultural zones as relate to setbacks.	Code/Regulations			
Reduced setbacks from abandoned wells.	Regulations			
Revise Table 3.1.	Regulations			
Revised setbacks for downslope siting of wells.	Regulations			
Recommended separation distance from utility	Regulations/Policy			
lines.				

Create separation distance from inactive septic	Regulations		
systems.	_		
Revised separation distance from termite treated	Regulations		
structures.			
Water Quality			
Improve upon the water quality parameters in	Code/Regulations		
section 370 (e.g. North Carolina sampling			
requirements).			
Improve procedures regarding chlorination;	Regulations		
chlorination related to pH.			
Develop sampling protocols for private wells.	Regulations/Policy.		
Define contamination of a private well.	Code/Regulation		
Regulation of water haulers.	Code		
Required use of lead-free components.	Code/Regulations		
Effects of corrosive water on galvanized drop	Code/Regulations		
pipe.			
Requirements for quality of water used in well	Regulations		
construction process.			
Required abandonment of contaminated wells.	Code		